

**BAKER & BAKER**

Thomas M Baker P.L.C.  
Attorneys At Law  
BUILDING NUMBER 10  
5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

THOMAS M. BAKER

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644  
FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028


September 23, 2009

Re: **Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver**  
Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Philip A. Kenner.  
2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaulles, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaulles moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaulles. In order for the undersigned to

  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 2

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

**ER 1.13. Organization as Client**

(a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.

(b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.


(c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if

(1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and

(2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

  
Member's Initials



September 23, 2009  
Page 3

(e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.

(f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.

(g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13


**ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:

- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.

(b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing. and:

- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009

Page 4


(2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and

(3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

1. Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
2. Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy; United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 -cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
3. Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim; United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
4. Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al., State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
5. Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
6. Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
7. Philip Kenner v. Nick James; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division - Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

  
Member's Initials




September 23, 2009  
Page 5

9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Partners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Does 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division – Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
10. Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy; District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
11. Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)
12. Tyson Nash, Gred deVries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakow, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 6

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future;
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

  
Member's Initials



September 23, 2009  
Page 7

- Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;
- (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.


Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB/tg  
Enclosure

Cc:	Jere Lehtinen	Jason Woolley
	Brian Campbell	Darryl Sydor
	Bryan Berard	Brad Isbister
	Vladimir Tsyplakov	Greg deVries
	Travis Green	Sergei Gonchar
	Jerry G. Glatt	Glen Murray
	Philip J. Stock	Josef Stumpel
	Chris Simon	Turner Stevenson
	Michael Peca	Steven Rucchin
	Tyson Nash	Mattias Norstrom
	Dimitri Khristich	

- Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 8

**CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS  
Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification**

I, JERRY GLATT, acknowledge the foregoing seven (7) page letter and its written disclosures pursuant to E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct. I hereby consent and agree to the terms and conditions described therein, including, but not limited to: (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future; (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above; (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7; (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above; (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV; (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case. Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

Dated: 10/25/2007

By: [Signature]

Signature of Member

Print name: JERRY G. GLATT

Address: 4478 Summerlake Court  
Jacksonville, FL 32258

e-mail: Jerryglatt@hotmail.com

[Signature]  
Member's Initials



10/20/2009 14:35 2122263784

FEDEX KINKOS

PAGE 01/08

**BAKER & BAKER**

Thomas M Baker P.L.C.,  
Attorneys At Law  
BUILDING NUMBER 10  
5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1844  
FACSIMILE (602) 283-9028

THOMAS M. BAKER

September 23, 2009

Re: **Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver**  
Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Philip A. Kenner.  
2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaules, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaules moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaules. In order for the undersigned to

  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009

Page 2

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

**ER 1.13. Organization as Client**

(a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.

(b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.


(c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if

(1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and

(2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

  
Member's Initials



September 23, 2009

Page 3

(e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.

(f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.

(g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13

#### **ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:

(1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or

(2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.

(b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing, and:

(1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client;

  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009

Page 4


(2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and

(3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

1. Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
2. Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy; United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 -cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
3. Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim; United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on going)
4. Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al., State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
5. Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
6. Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
7. Philip Kenner v. Nick James; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Moses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division - Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

  
Member's Initials




September 23, 2009

Page 5

9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; A' Eufora Partners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Docs 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division – Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
10. Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy; District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
11. Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)
12. Tyson Nash, Gred deVries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009

Page 6

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future;
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

  
Member's Initials



September 23, 2009

Page 7

- Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;
- (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB/tg  
Enclosure

Cc: Jere Lehtinen  
Brian Campbell  
Bryan Berard  
Vladimir Tsyplakov  
Travis Green  
Jerry G. Glatt  
Philip J. Stock  
Chris Simon  
Michael Peca  
Tyson Nash  
Dimitri Khristich

Jason Woolley  
Darryl Sydor  
Brad Isbister  
Greg deVries  
Sergei Gonchar  
Glen Murray  
Josef Stumpel  
Turner Stevenson  
Steven Rucchin  
Mattias Norstrom

- Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009

Page 8

**CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS**  
**Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification**

I, Bryan Bernard, acknowledge the foregoing seven (7) page letter and its written disclosures pursuant to E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct. I hereby consent and agree to the terms and conditions described therein, including, but not limited to: (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future; (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above; (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7; (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above; (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV; (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case. Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

Dated: 10-19-09By: [Signature]

Signature of Member

Print name: Bryan BernardAddress: 313 W 3rd St apt 3Boston, MA 02127e-mail: Bbernard4@gmail.com

BB  
 Member's Initials



**BAKER & BAKER**

Thomas M Baker P.L.C.  
Attorneys At Law  
BUILDING NUMBER 10  
5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

THOMAS M. BAKER

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644  
FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028

September 23, 2009

Re: **Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver**  
Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Philip A. Kenner.  
2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaulles, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jody also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaulles moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaulles. In order for the undersigned to

B.C.  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 2

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

**ER 1.13. Organization as Client**

**(a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.**

(b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.

(c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if

(1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and

(2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

B.C.  
Member's Initials



September 23, 2009  
Page 3

(e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.

(f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.

**(g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.**

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13

**ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:

- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.

(b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing. and:

- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

B.C.  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 4

- (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
- (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

1. Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
2. Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy; United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 -cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
3. Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim; United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
4. Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al., State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
5. Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
6. Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
7. Philip Kenner v. Nick James; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division - Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

B.C.  
Member's Initials



September 23, 2009  
Page 5

9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Partners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Does 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division – Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
10. Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy; District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
11. Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)
12. Tyson Nash, Gred deVries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakow, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

B.C.  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 6

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future;
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

B.C.  
Member's Initials



September 23, 2009  
Page 7

Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;

- (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB\tg  
Enclosure

Cc: Jere Lehtinen  
Brian Campbell  
Bryan Berard  
Vladimir Tsyplakov  
Travis Green  
Jerry G. Glatt  
Philip J. Stock  
Chris Simon  
Michael Peca  
Tyson Nash  
Dimitri Khristich

Jason Woolley  
Darryl Sydor  
Brad Isbister  
Greg deVries  
Sergei Gonchar  
Glen Murray  
Josef Stumpel  
Turner Stevenson  
Steven Rucchin  
Mattias Norstrom

- Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

B.C.  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 8

**CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS**  
**Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification**

I, BREAN CAMPBELL, acknowledge the foregoing seven (7) page letter and its written disclosures pursuant to E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct. I hereby consent and agree to the terms and conditions described therein, including, but not limited to: (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future; (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above; (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7; (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above; (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV; (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case. Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

Dated: 11/05/09

By: 

Signature of Member

Print name: BREAN CAMPBELL

Address: 1235 W. BELDEN AVE.  
CHICAGO, IL 60614

e-mail: bcampbell1979@nac.com.

B.C.  
Member's Initials



**BAKER & BAKER**

Thomas M Baker P.L.C.  
Attorneys At Law  
BUILDING NUMBER 10  
5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644  
FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028

THOMAS M. BAKER

September 23, 2009

Re: **Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver**  
Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Philip A. Kenner.  
2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaulles, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jody also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaulles moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaulles. In order for the undersigned to

  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 2

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

**ER 1.13. Organization as Client**

**(a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.**

(b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.


(c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if

(1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and

(2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

  
Member's Initials



September 23, 2009

Page 3

(e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.

(f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.

**(g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.**

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13


**ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:

- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.

(b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing, and:

- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

  
Member's Initials


September 23, 2009  
Page 4

- (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
- (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

1. Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
2. Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy; United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 -cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
3. Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim; United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
4. Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al., State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
5. Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
6. Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
7. Philip Kenner v. Nick James; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division - Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

  
Member's Initials




September 23, 2009

Page 5

9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Parners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Does 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division – Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
10. Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy; District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
11. Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)
12. Tyson Nash, Gred deVries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakow, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 6

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future;
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

DS  
Member's Initials



September 23, 2009  
Page 7

- Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;
- (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB/tg  
Enclosure

Cc:	Jere Lehtinen	Jason Woolley
	Brian Campbell	Darryl Sydor
	Bryan Berard	Brad Isbister
	Vladimir Tsyplakov	Greg deVries
	Travis Green	Sergei Gonchar
	Jerry G. Glatt	Glen Murray
	Philip J. Stock	Josef Stumpel
	Chris Simon	Turner Stevenson
	Michael Peca	Steven Rucchin
	Tyson Nash	Mattias Norstrom
	Dimitri Khristich	

- Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

DS  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 8

**CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS**  
**Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification**

I, Darryl Sydor, acknowledge the foregoing seven (7) page letter and its written disclosures pursuant to E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct. I hereby consent and agree to the terms and conditions described therein, including, but not limited to: (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future; (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above; (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7; (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above; (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV; (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case. Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

Dated: 10-15-09 By: [Signature]  
Signature of Member

Print name: Darryl Sydor

Address: 1126 Highland pointe  
Town; country 63131

e-mail: DSydor55@gmail.com

DS  
Member's Initials



**BAKER & BAKER**

Thomas M Baker P.L.C.  
Attorneys At Law  
BUILDING NUMBER 10  
5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

THOMAS M. BAKER

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644  
FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028

September 23, 2009

Re: *Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver*  
Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Philip A. Kenner.  
2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaulles, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaulles moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaulles. In order for the undersigned to

D.K.  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 2

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

**ER 1.13. Organization as Client**

(a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.

(b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.

(c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if

(1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and

(2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

D.K.  
Member's Initials



September 23, 2009  
Page 3

(e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.

(f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.

(g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13

**ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:

(1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or

(2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.

(b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing. and:

(1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

D.K.  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 4

(2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and

(3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

1. Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
2. Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy; United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 -cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
3. Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim; United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
4. Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al., State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
5. Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
6. Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
7. Philip Kenner v. Nick James; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division - Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

DIC



September 23, 2009

Page 5

9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Partners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Does 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division – Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
10. Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy; District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
11. Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)
12. Tyson Nash, Gred deVries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

D.K.  
Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 6

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future;
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

D.K.  
Member's Initials



September 23, 2009

Page 7

Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;

- (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB\tg  
Enclosure

Cc:	Jere Lehtinen	Jason Woolley
	Brian Campbell	Darryl Sydor
	Bryan Berard	Brad Isbister
	Vladimir Tsyplakov	Greg deVries
	Travis Green	Sergei Gonchar
	Jerry G. Glatt	Glen Murray
	Philip J. Stock	Josef Stumpel
	Chris Simon	Turner Stevenson
	Michael Peca	Steven Rucchin
	Tyson Nash	Mattias Norstrom
	Dimitri Khristich	

- Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

D.K.

Member's Initials

September 23, 2009  
Page 8

**CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS**  
**Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification**

I, Dimitri Khristich, acknowledge the foregoing seven (7) page letter and its written disclosures pursuant to E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct. I hereby consent and agree to the terms and conditions described therein, including, but not limited to: (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future; (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above; (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7; (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above; (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV; (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case. Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

Dated: 10-17-09

By: 

Signature of Member

Print name: Dimitri Khristich

Address: Kiev Ukraine  
12 Panas Myrnoho #43 01011

e-mail: deemkh@hotmail.com

D.K.  
Member's Initials



Oct 23 09 03:46p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.1

**BAKER & BAKER**

Thomas M Baker P.L.C.  
Attorneys At Law  
BUILDING NUMBER 10  
5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644  
FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028

THOMAS M. BAKER

September 23, 2009

Re: **Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver**  
Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;  
Philip A. Kenner.  
2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaulles, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaulles moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaulles. In order for the undersigned to

  
Member's Initials

Oct 23 09 03:47p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.2

September 23, 2009

Page 2

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

**ER 1.13. Organization as Client**

**(a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.**

(b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.

(c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if

(1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and

(2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

  
Member's Initials



Oct 23 09 03:47p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.3

September 23, 2009

Page 3

(e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.

(f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.

(g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13


**ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:

- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.

(b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing, and:

- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

  
Member's Initials

Oct 23 09 03:48p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.4

September 23, 2009

Page 4


(2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and

(3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

1. Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
2. Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy; United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 -cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
3. Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim; United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
4. Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al., State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
5. Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
6. Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
7. Philip Kenner v. Nick James; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division - Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

  
Member's Initials



Oct 23 09 03:48p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.5


September 23, 2009

Page 5

9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Partners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Does 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division – Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
10. Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy; District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
11. Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)
12. Tyson Nash, Gred deVries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles – Central District; (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

  
Member's Initials

Oct 23 09 03:49p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.6

September 23, 2009

Page 6


the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future;
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

  
Member's Initials